

# National Survey of Student Engagement Executive Snapshot 2011

## Marshall University

## Dear Colleague:

This document presents some key findings from your institution's participation in the 2011 National Survey of Student Engagement. We hope you can use this information to stimulate discussion on your campus about the undergraduate experience at Marshall University.

Sincerely,

Alexander C. McCormick

Director, National Survey of Student Engagement


## Fostering Student Engagement Campuswide

NSSE is more than a survey. It's an improvement enterprise, an agenda for action to improve undergraduate education that depends on collaboration among many players on your campus. The featured theme of NSSE's *Annual Results* 2011 – "Supporting Student Engagement Across Campus" – emphasizes the value of connecting NSSE results to specific campus programs and units, and suggests sharing pertinent results to promote campus partnerships dedicated to the quality of the undergraduate experience.

Having received your NSSE reports and data, the next step is to dig into the results to develop a contextualized understanding of student engagement at your institution. Many constituents—leadership, faculty, student affairs staff, institutional researchers, and students—can contribute to this process. What should follow is the design and implementation of improvement-focused action plans.

Student engagement data can inform the work of many departments and offices on campus, such as academic affairs, career services, and student activities. For example, the figure below illustrates comparative results for survey items that might be examined by these units at your institution. Results like these may suggest areas to investigate or may validate the impact of ongoing improvement efforts.

## Percentage of Students Participating in Selected Activities at Marshall and Selected Comparison Institutions\*



<sup>\*</sup>Response options were "Very often," "Often," "Sometimes," and "Never." "Never" responses are not displayed. Comparison institutions are the first comparison group from your NSSE 2011 Selected Comparison Groups report unless your institution requested a different group for this Snapshot.

## **NSSE 2011 Question Comparisons**

By examining individual NSSE questions, you can better understand what contributes to your institution's overall benchmark scores. This section features the five questions on which your first-year and senior students scored the highest and the five questions on which they scored the lowest, relative to students at the indicated comparison group (the group's members are listed in your NSSE 2011 Selected Comparison Groups report).

While we chose these questions to represent the largest differences (in percentage points), they may not be the most important to your institutional mission or current program or policy goals. We encourage you to review your NSSE *Institutional Report 2011* for additional results of particular interest to your campus.


## Highest Performing Benchmark Items Relative to Carnegie Class

| $\sim$ | •       | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ |
|--------|---------|-----------------------|
| Omi    | noricon | Lironne               |
| CUIII  | varisum | Groups                |
|        |         |                       |

| Ques-<br>tion | Bench-<br>mark <sup>1</sup> | Percentage of students who   | Marshall   | Writing<br>Consortium | Carnegie<br>Class | NSSE 2011 |
|---------------|-----------------------------|--|------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------|
|               | Zear Stud                   | × ·  |            |                       |                   |           |
| 3d.           | LAC                         | Wrote more than 4 papers or reports between 5 and 19 pages                         | 39%        | 30%                   | 29%               | 29%       |
| 3e.           | LAC                         | Wrote more than 10 papers or reports of fewer than 5 pages                         | 45%        | 30%                   | 28%               | 28%       |
| 1b.           | ACL                         | Made a class presentation <sup>2</sup>   | <b>57%</b> | 28%                   | 37%               | 33%       |
| 1n.           | SFI                         | Discussed grades or assignments with an instructor <sup>2</sup>                    | <b>65%</b> | 48%                   | 52%               | 51%       |
| 1p.           | SFI                         | Discussed ideas from classes with faculty outside of class <sup>2</sup>            | 29%        | 19%                   | 21%               | 21%       |
| Senior        | S                           |  |            |                       |                   |           |
| 1t.           | ACL                         | Discussed ideas from readings or classes with others outside of class <sup>2</sup> | 71%        | 66%                   | 65%               | 65%       |
| 1n.           | SFI                         | Discussed grades or assignments with an instructor <sup>2</sup>                    | 68%        | 57%                   | 61%               | 60%       |
| 1v.           | EEE                         | Had serious conversations w/ students of other relig./politics/values <sup>2</sup> | 61%        | 55%                   | 55%               | 56%       |
| 7e.           | EEE                         | Completed foreign language coursework  | 44%        | 46%                   | 34%               | 40%       |
| 7h.           | EEE                         | Completed a culminating senior experience (capstone, thesis, comp. exam)           | 50%        | 33%                   | 30%               | 32%       |

The adjacent figure, based on the table above, displays the questions on which your students compared most favorably with those in your selected comparison group named:

Carnegie Class






#### Lowest Performing Benchmark Items Relative to Carnegie Class **Comparison Groups** Writing Carnegie Marshall NSSE 2011 Ques-Bench-Consortium mark 1 tion Percentage of students who... **First-Year Students** 1h. **ACL** Worked with classmates outside of class to prepare class assignments<sup>2</sup> 37% 45% 44% 44% 1u. **EEE** Had serious conversations w/ students of another race or ethnicity<sup>2</sup> 46% 52% 51% 52% EEE Did a practicum, internship, field experience, clinical assignment 7a. 3% 7% 6% 7% 7c. **EEE** Participated in a learning community 18% 11% 19% 16% 7e. EEE 11% Completed foreign language coursework 24% 16% 20% Seniors 2e. LAC 77% 81% 82% 82% Said courses emphasized applying theories or concepts to new situations<sup>4</sup> 1h. **ACL** 55% 60% 60% Worked with classmates outside of class to prepare class assignments<sup>2</sup> 61% 10c. **EEE** Said the institution substantially encourages contacts among diverse peers<sup>4</sup> 44% 50% 53% 51% 10b. **SCE** Said the institution provides substantial support for academic success<sup>4</sup> 66% 72% 72% 72%

Said the institution substantially helps students cope w/ non-acad. matters<sup>4</sup>

The adjacent figure, based on the table above, displays the questions on which your students compared least favorably with those in your selected comparison group named:

**SCE** 

## Carnegie Class



### Notes

10d.

## Respondent Characteristics

The adjacent table displays your number of respondents, response rate, and sampling error by class. Sampling error is an estimate of the margin by which the true percentage of your students may differ from the reported percentage on a given item (because not all of your students completed surveys).

|                     |     | Resp. | Sampling |
|---------------------|-----|-------|----------|
| _                   | N   | Rate  | Error    |
| First-Year Students | 372 | 22%   | +/-4.5%  |
| Seniors             | 464 | 25%   | +/-3.9%  |

28%

30%

28%

25%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> LAC=Level of Academic Challenge; ACL=Active and Collaborative Learning; SFI=Student-Faculty Interaction; EEE=Enriching Educational Experiences; SCE=Supportive Campus Environment

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Combination of students responding "Very often" or "Often"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Rated at least 5 on a 7-point scale

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Combination of students responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit"

## Benchmarks of Effective Educational Practice

To represent the multi-dimensional nature of student engagement, NSSE developed five indicators of effective educational practice. These "benchmarks" are created from clusters of NSSE questions that best represent these practices.

The table below summarizes key benchmark results for your institution and institutions in your selected comparison groups. A '+' symbol indicates that your institution's score is higher than than the comparison group and a '-' symbol indicates a lower score (p < .05). A blank space indicates no significant difference. For additional details, review your *NSSE 2011 Benchmark Comparisons* report.

|  |            | Marshall | Comparison Groups     |                   |              |  |
|--|------------|----------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------|--|
|  | Class      |          | Writing<br>Consortium | Carnegie<br>Class | NSSE 2011    |  |
| Level of Academic Challenge (LAC)  |            |          |                       |                   |              |  |
| How challenging is your institution's intellectual and creative work?        | First-Year | 56       | +                     | +                 | +            |  |
|  | Senior     | 57       |                       |                   |              |  |
| Active and Collaborative Learning (ACL                                       | _)         |          |                       |                   |              |  |
| Are your students actively involved in their                                 | First-Year | 46       | +                     | +                 | +            |  |
| learning, individually and working with others?                              | Senior     | 51       |                       |                   |              |  |
| Student-Faculty Interaction (SFI)  |            |          |                       |                   |              |  |
| Do your students work with faculty members inside and outside the classroom? | First-Year | 38       | +                     | +                 | +            |  |
|  | Senior     | 45       | +                     | +                 | +            |  |
| Enriching Educational Experiences (EE  | E)         |          |                       |                   |              |  |
| La main a sum automici a 2   | First-Year | 25       | _                     | _                 | -            |  |
|  | Senior     | 42       |                       | +                 |              |  |
| Supportive Campus Environment (SCE   | (1)        |          |                       |                   |              |  |
| Do your students feel the institution is committed to their success?         | First-Year | 63       |                       |                   |              |  |
|  | Senior     | 57       | -                     | -                 | -            |  |
|  |            |          |                       |                   | IPEDS:237525 |  |

## For More Information

A comprehensive summary of all results is contained in your institutional report, which we sent in August to Mary Reynolds, Assessment and Program Review. Reports used in this Executive Snapshot included the: NSSE 2011 Mean Comparisons, Frequency Distributions, Benchmark Comparisons, and Respondent Characteristics.

## National Survey of Student Engagement

Indiana University Center for Postsecondary Research 1900 East Tenth Street, Suite 419 Bloomington, IN 47406-7512

Web: nsse.iub.edu

Phone: 812-856-5824

E-mail: nsse@indiana.edu