# **Key Assessment**

# Student Teaching Capstone Interview

# **Initial Level Capstone Interview Grades**

#### Introduction:

The Capstone Interview is a formal question and answer interview in which teacher candidates have the opportunity to discuss strengths and weaknesses from their student teaching experience. Teacher candidates are expected to discuss the following topics in detail:

- Goals
- Successful and unsuccessful aspects of their unit
- Design of unit based on data
- Areas of self-improvement

### **Summary:**

The Capstone Judging Panel determines all scores for the teacher candidates. The Judging Panel consists of university faculty, university supervisors and public school professionals. Judges are randomly selected; candidates will not be placed with current or past university supervisor. Judges have been trained on scoring prior to the interview process and have copies of questions and rubrics. The Capstone Interview is scored on a 1-4 rubric scale; candidates must receive at least a 3.0 to be considered as passing. All teacher candidates must successfully complete the Capstone Interview as it is a graduation requirement.

Data are included from the following semesters

- Fall 2019
- As a result of COVID19, Spring 2020 Capstone Interview data is not available.

# Marshall University Key Assessment Cover Sheet

#### What is the Key Assessment Title?

Student Teaching Capstone Interview

# Who is responsible for the assessment administration?

The SCOPES Office organizes the Capstone Judging Panels and assigns them several student teacher candidates to interview. The schedules are developed and sent out.

# At what point(s) during the program is it administered?

The Student Teaching Capstone Interview is the last assessment completed during the candidate's student teaching semester. This is usually their last semester before graduation.

# What is the purpose of this assessment?

The Capstone Interview is a formal question and answer interview in which teacher candidates have the opportunity to discuss strengths and weaknesses from their student teaching experience.

# What scoring/rating must a candidate reach in order to pass this assessment?

The Capstone Interview is scored on a 4-point rubric scale. Candidates must receive at least a 3.0 to be considered passing. All teacher candidates must successfully complete the Capstone Interview as it is a graduation requirement.

#### How is feedback to the candidate actionable?

The rubric provides candidates with their score on each element. The rubrics are submitted to the Asst. Director of Clinical Experiences who contacts each student with his/her score. If questions arise, a meeting is scheduled with the Asst. Director of Clinical Experiences to discuss the rubric with the teacher candidate.

#### What happens if a candidate does not meet the required criterion?

If a teacher candidates receives a score below 3.0, he/she has a chance to redo the capstone interview with a different pane, usually made up of the Program Director and Assoc. Dean.

# How is the assessment aligned with standards, and what are the categories of alignment?

This assessment is aligned to WVPTS, InTASC, and CAEP standards.

#### What steps were taken to ensure reliability and validity of the assessment?

The revision of the ST Capstone Interview process and rubric was scheduled to be upgraded, along with validity and reliability during the summer 2018. However, this process was postponed until fall 2018 due to the renovations of our education building, Jenkins Hall. Faculty did not have offices during the summer and were not on campus. It was believed that postponing this process would be easier to achieve once all faculty returned in the fall.

# LEVEL III CLINICAL EXPERIENCE (STUDENT TEACHING)

# **CAPSTONE EVALUATION**

	DISTINGUISHED	DISTINGUISHED PROFICIENT		UNSATISFACTORY	SCORE
	(4 points)	(3 points)	(2 points)	(1 point)	
		SPEAKING SK	(ILLS		
DICTION and	Candidate clearly	Candidate clearly	Candidate clearly	Enunciation is not	
VOCAL	enunciates all words	enunciates most	enunciates some	clear, making it	
INFLECTION	in a manner that is	words in a manner	words in a manner	difficult to	
	not distracting to the	that is not distracting	that is not distracting	understand during	
	listener and	to the listener and	to the listener and	the presentation and	
	consistently alters	alters pitch, tone, and	alters pitch, tone, and	vocal inflection is not	
	pitch, tone, and	volume as	volume as	used appropriately	
	volume as	appropriate for	appropriate for	making it difficult to	
	appropriate for	emphasis and	emphasis and	hear and understand	
	emphasis and	meaning the majority	meaning some of the	candidate's message.	
	meaning.	of the time.	time.		
LANGUAGE/	Candidate utilizes	Candidate utilizes	Candidate utilizes	Candidate does not	
GRAMMAR	language appropriate	language appropriate	language appropriate	utilize professional	
	for the teaching	for the teaching	for the teaching	language during the	
	profession during the	profession during the	profession during	presentation; makes	
	presentation and	majority of the	some of the	three or more	
	does not make any	presentation and	presentation and	grammatical errors.	
	grammatical errors.	does not make more	does not make more		
		than one	than two		
		grammatical error.	grammatical errors.		
		CENTRAL ID	-		
ANALYSIS OF	Candidate states	Candidate states	Candidate states	Candidate states	
STUDENT	goals which are	goals which are	goals which are	goals which are	
TEACHING GOALS	insightful, reasonable	reasonable and	reasonable but not	neither reasonable	
MANADEC 4.C	and attainable.	attainable.	attainable.	nor attainable.	
WVPTS – 1C					
InTASC – 7					
CAEP – 1.1					
INSIGHTS ON	Identifies the most	Identifies the most	Identifies the most	Doos not identify the	
EFFECTIVE	and least successful	and least successful	and least successful	Does not identify the most and least	
	activities and	activities and	activities and	successful activities	
INSTRUCTION AND	l		assessments but does	and assessments and	
ASSESSMENT	assessment and explores the plausible	assessments and explores the reasons	not explore the	does not explore the	
ASSESSIVIEIVI	and in-depth reasons	for their success or	plausible and in-	plausible and in-	
WVPTS –3E	for their success or	failure.	depth reasons for	depth reasons for	
InTASC – 6	failure.	ialiule.	their success or	their success or	
CAEP – 1.1	i aliule.		failure.	failure.	
CALF = 1.1			iaiiui e.	ianule.	
IMPLICATIONS	Provides specific and	Provides ideas for	Provides few ideas	Does not provide	
FOR FUTURE	relevant ideas for	redesigned	for redesigned	ideas for redesigned	
TEACHING	redesigned	instruction and	instruction and	instruction and	
ILACIIIIU	instruction and	assessment and	assessment and	assessment and does	
WVPTS – 3E	assessment and	explains why these	explains in little detail	not explain why these	
VV VF 13 - 3E	assessificit allu	explains willy these	expiairis iii iittie uetali	Hot explain willy these	

InTASC – 6 CAEP – 1.1	explains in detail why these changes would improve student learning.	changes would improve student learning.	why these changes would improve student learning.	changes would improve student learning.
CONSIDERATION OF CONTENT INSTRUCTION  WVPTS - 3F InTASC - 2 CAEP - 1.1	Candidate provides a thorough explanation for the instructional design making based on data from the assessment plan.	Candidate provides an explanation for the instructional design making based on data from the assessment plan.	Candidate provides a limited explanation for the instructional design making based on data from the assessment plan.	Candidate does not provide an explanation for the instructional design making based on data from the assessment plan.
INTERPRETATION OF STUDENT LEARNING  WVPTS – 3F InTASC – 2 CAEP – 1.1	Extensive evidence is provided on learner achievement and progress made toward the learning goad and/or each objective.	Adequate evidence is provided on learner achievement and progress made toward the learning goal and/or each objective.	Little evidence is provided on learner achievement and progress made toward the earning goal and/or each objective.	No evidence is provided on learner achievement made and progress toward the objectives.
IMPICATIONS FOR PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL IMPROVEMENT  WVPTS – 4A InTASC – 9 CAEP – 1.1	Identifies more than two areas for improvement and lists and describes a comprehensive plan to improve these areas.	Identifies two areas for improvement and lists and describes a plan to improve these areas.	Identifies less than two areas for improvement and lists and describes a plan to improve these areas.	Identifies no areas for improvement and/or does not describe a plan to improve these areas.
		NOVERBAL ELE	MENTS	
MOVEMENT/ GESTURES, FACIAL EXPRESSIONS, EYE CONTACT AND MANNERISMS	Candidate displays appropriate use of movement, facial expressions, eye contact and mannerisms to enhance the verbal message.	Candidate displays movement, facial expressions, eye contact and mannerisms that reinforce the verbal message.	Candidate displays movements, facial expressions, eye contact and/or mannerisms that are somewhat distracting.	Candidate displays inappropriate movement, facial expressions, eye contact and/or mannerisms that are distracting.
		LISTENING SI	KILLS	
LISTENING AND RESPONDING SKILLS	Candidate displays an exceptional ability to listen and understand the speaker as demonstrated by nonverbal cues and appropriate responses and ability to thoroughly articulate responses	Candidate displays an adequate ability to listen and understand the speaker as demonstrated by nonverbal cues and appropriate responses and ability to thoroughly articulate responses	Candidate displays an inadequate ability to listen and understand the speaker as demonstrated by nonverbal cues and appropriate responses and/or an inconsistent ability to articulate responses	Candidate displays a complete inability to listen and understand the speaker as demonstrated by inappropriate nonverbal cues and responses and/or an inability to articulate responses to questions and/or
	to questions and/or discussion.	to questions and/or discussion.	to questions and/or discussion.	discussion.

Candidate (is):	YES	NO
Punctual		
Well-groomed		
Prepared		
Stays within time cues		
Maintains confidentiality		
Remains on topic		
Dresses appropriately for a formal presentation		

# **Initial Level Capstone Interview Data**

As a result of COVID19, Spring 2020 Capstone Interview data is not available.

Fall 2019 Disaggregated by Semester						
J.	Fall 2019 (N=54)					
	Mean = 3.46 Mode = 3 SD = 0.53					
Analysis of Student Teaching Goals	Distinguished= 26 Proficient= 27 Basic= 1					
	Unsatisfactory= 0  Mean = 3.48  Mode = 4  SD = 0.54					
Insights on Effective Instruction and Assessment	Distinguished= 27 Proficient= 26 Basic= 1 Unsatisfactory= 0 Mean = 3.28					
Laurellandiana fan Futura Tarakina	Mean = 3.28 Mode = 3 SD = 0.49					
Implications for Future Teaching	Distinguished= 16 Proficient= 37 Basic= 1 Unsatisfactory= 0					
	Mean = 3.13 Mode = 3 SD = 0.55					
Consideration of Content Instruction	Distinguished= 12 Proficient= 37 Basic= 5 Unsatisfactory= 0					
Interpretation of Student Learning	Mean = 3.33 Mode = 3 SD = 0.67					
Interpretation of Student Learning	Distinguished= 23 Proficient= 27 Basic= 3 Unsatisfactory= 1					
Implications for Personal and	Mean = 3.48 Mode = 4 SD = 0.60					
Professional Improvement	Distinguished= 29 Proficient= 22 Basic= 3 Unsatisfactory= 0					

	Mean = 3.63
	Mode = 4
	SD = 0.55
Diction/Vocal Inflection	Distinguished= 36
	Proficient= 16
	Basic= 2
	Unsatisfactory= 0
	Mean = 3.44
	Mode = 4
	SD = 0.72
Language/Grammar and Responding	3D = 0.72
	Distinguished 20
Skills	Distinguished= 29 Proficient= 18
	Basic= 4
	Unsatisfactory= 1
	Mean = 3.52
	Mode = 4
Movement, Gestures, Facial	SD = 0.57
Expressions, Eye Contact,	
Mannerisms and Listening Skills	Distinguished= 30
	Proficient= 22
	Basic= 2
	Unsatisfactory= 0
	Mean = 3.64
	Mode = 4
	SD = 0.62
Confidentiality and Ethics	Distinguished= 37
	Proficient= 14
	Basic= 1
	Unsatisfactory= 1
	Mean = 3.64
	Mode = 4
	SD = 0.62
Well Groomed/Dressed	35 - 0.02
Appropriately	Distinguished= 37
Appropriately	Proficient= 14
	Basic= 1
	Unsatisfactory= 1
	Olisatisjattory- 1

Fall 2019 - ST Capstone Interview Data - Disaggregated by Program and Content Area

	Distinguished (4 pts)	Proficient (3 pts)	Basic (2 pts)	Unsatisfactory (1 pts)	Mean	Mode	StDev
		Analysis of	Student Te	aching Goals			
Cumulative (n = 54)	26	27	1	0	3.46	3	0.53
Elementary (n = 30)	17	12	1	0	3.53	4	0.56
Secondary (n = 24)	9	15	0	0	3.38	3	0.48
Art Education, PreK-Adult (n = 1)	1	0	0	0	4.00	4	0.00
English, 5-Adult (n = 5)	2	3	0	0	3.40	3	0.49
Mathematics, 5-Adult (n = 2)	0	2	0	0	3.00	3	0.00
Music Education, PreK-Adult (n = 8)	3	5	0	0	3.38	3	0.48
PE Health/Wellness, PreK-Adult (n = 3)	1	2	0	0	3.33	3	0.47
Social Studies, 5-Adult (n = 5)	2	3	0	0	3.40	3	0.49
	Insigh	nts on Effecti	ive Instructi	on and Assessmen	nt		
Cumulative	27	26	1	0	3.48	4	0.54
Elementary	16	13	1	0	3.50	4	0.56
Secondary	11	13	0	0	3.46	3	0.50
Art Education, PreK-Adult	1	0	0	0	4.00	4	0.00
English, 5-Adult	5	0	0	0	4.00	4	0.00
Mathematics, 5-Adult	0	2	0	0	3.00	3	0.00
Music Education, PreK-Adult	1	7	0	0	3.13	3	0.33
PE Health/Wellness, PreK-Adult	1	2	0	0	3.33	3	0.47
Social Studies, 5-Adult	3	2	0	0	3.60	4	0.49
		Implicatio	ns for Futu	re Teaching			
Cumulative	16	37	1	0	3.28	3	0.49
Elementary	10	19	1	0	3.30	3	0.53
Secondary	6	18	0	0	3.25	3	0.43

0	1	0	0	3.00	3	0.00
3	2	0	0	3.60	4	0.49
0	2	0	0	3.00	3	0.00
0	8	0	0	3.00	3	0.00
0	3	0	0	3.00	3	0.00
3	2	0	0	3.60	4	0.49
-	Considerati	on of Conte	nt Instruction			
12	37	5	0	3.13	3	0.55
9	18	3	0	3.20	3	0.60
3	19	2	0	3.04	3	0.45
0	1	0	0	3.00	3	0.00
2	3	0	0	3.40	3	0.49
0	2	0	0	3.00	3	0.00
0	6	2	0	2.75	3	0.43
0	3	0	0	3.00	3	0.00
1	4	0	0	3.20	0	0.40
	Interpreta	tion of Stud	ent Learning			
23	27	3	1	3.33	3	0.67
15	14	1	0	3.47	4	0.56
8	13	2	1	3.17	3	0.75
1	0	0	0	4.00	4	0.00
2	3	0	0	3.40	3	0.49
0	2	0	0	3.00	3	0.00
1	5	1	1	2.75	3	0.83
0	2	1	0	2.67	3	0.47
4	1	0	0	3.80	4	0.40
Implicat	ions for Perso	onal and Pro	fessional Improve	ment		•
29	22	3	0	3.48	4	0.60
	3 0 0 0 0 3 12 9 3 0 0 2 0 0 0 1 1 23 15 8 1 2 0 1 0 4 Implicati	3 2 0 2 0 8 0 3 3 2 Considerati 12 37 9 18 3 19 0 1 2 3 0 2 0 6 0 3 1 4 Interpreta 23 27 15 14 8 13 1 0 2 3 0 2 1 5 0 2 1 5 0 2 4 1 Implications for Person	3   2   0   0   0   2   0   0   0   8   0   0   0   3   0   0   0   0   0   0	3   2   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0   0	3	3

Elementary	17	12	1	0	3.53	4	0.56
Secondary	12	10	2	0	3.42	4	0.64
Art Education, PreK-Adult	0	0	1	0	2.00	2	0.00
English, 5-Adult	5	0	0	0	4.00	4	0.00
Mathematics, 5-Adult	2	0	0	0	4.00	4	0.00
Music Education, PreK-Adult	1	6	1	0	3.00	3	0.50
PE Health/Wellness, PreK-Adult	1	2	0	0	3.33	3	0.47
Social Studies, 5-Adult	3	2	0	0	3.60	4	0.49
		Dictio	on/Vocal Inf	lection			
Cumulative	36	16	2	0	3.63	4	0.55
Elementary	21	9	0	0	3.70	4	0.46
Secondary	15	7	2	0	3.54	4	0.64
Art Education, PreK-Adult	1	0	0	0	4.00	4	0.00
English, 5-Adult	2	2	1	0	3.50	3	0.75
Mathematics, 5-Adult	2	0	0	0	4.00	4	0.00
Music Education, PreK-Adult	3	4	1	0	3.25	3	0.66
PE Health/Wellness, PreK-Adult	2	1	0	0	3.67	4	0.47
Social Studies, 5-Adult	5	0	0	0	4.00	4	0.00
	L	anguage/Gra	mmar and R	esponding Skills			
Cumulative	29	18	4	1	3.44	4	0.72
Elementary	20	8	2	0	3.60	4	0.61
Secondary	9	10	2	1	3.23	3	0.79
Art Education, PreK-Adult	1	0	0	0	4.00	4	0.00
English, 5-Adult	2	1	0	0	3.67	4	0.47
Mathematics, 5-Adult	2	0	0	0	4.00	4	0.00
Music Education, PreK-Adult	1	6	1	0	3.00	3	0.50
PE Health/Wellness, PreK-Adult	2	1	0	0	3.67	4	0.47
Social Studies, 5-Adult	1	2	1	1	2.60	3	1.02

Moveme	nt, Gestures, Fa	acial Expressi	ions, Eye Co	ntact, Mannerism	s and Listening S	ikills	
Cumulative	30	22	2	0	3.52	4	0.57
Elementary	18	12	0	0	3.60	4	0.49
Secondary	12	10	2	0	3.42	4	0.64
Art Education, PreK-Adult	0	1	0	0	3.00	3	0.00
English, 5-Adult	3	1	1	0	3.40	4	0.80
Mathematics, 5-Adult	2	0	0	0	4.00	4	0.00
Music Education, PreK-Adult	4	4	0	0	3.50	3	0.50
PE Health/Wellness, PreK-Adult	2	1	0	0	3.67	4	0.47
Social Studies, 5-Adult	1	3	1	0	3.00	3	0.63
		Confid	lentiality an	d Ethics			
Cumulative	37	14	1	1	3.64	4	0.62
Elementary	20	9	0	0	3.69	4	0.46
Secondary	17	5	1	1	3.58	4	0.76
Art Education, PreK-Adult	1	0	0	0	4.00	4	0.00
English, 5-Adult	4	1	0	0	3.80	4	0.40
Mathematics, 5-Adult	2	0	0	0	4.00	4	0.00
Music Education, PreK-Adult	4	3	1	0	3.38	4	0.70
PE Health/Wellness, PreK-Adult	2	1	0	0	3.67	4	0.47
Social Studies, 5-Adult	4	0	0	1	3.40	4	1.20
		Well Groom	ed/Dressed	Appropriately			
Cumulative	43	9	2	0	3.76	4	0.51
Elementary	27	3	0	0	3.90	4	0.30
Secondary	16	6	2	0	3.58	4	0.64
Art Education, PreK-Adult	1	0	0	0	4.00	4	0.00
English, 5-Adult	4	1	0	0	3.80	4	0.40
Mathematics, 5-Adult	0	0	2	0	2.00	2	0.00
Music Education, PreK-Adult	5	3	0	0	3.63	4	0.48

PE Health/Wellness, PreK-Adult	2	1	0	0	3.67	4	0.47
Social Studies, 5-Adult	4	1	0	0	3.80	4	0.40