**Student name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**RUBRIC FOR Freshman Baseline and FYS Problem-Solving Tasks**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FYS Outcomes** | **(A: 4)** | **(B: 3)** | **(C: 2)** | **(D/F: 1)** | **Weight** |
| **Information Literacy**(Accessing, evaluating, and using information ethically) | * **Part A:** Effectively/rightly explains evaluation of documents in the Document Library (DL) by determining accuracy, bias, and relevance.
* **Part B:** Completely assesses the need for more information.
* **Part C:** Integrates relevant information from the DL. Acknowledges sources used in the final memo and explains how information in the document impacts the analysis.
 | * **Part A:** Explains evaluation of documents in the DL but overlooks crucial details concerning accuracy, bias, or relevance
* **Part B**: Mostly assesses the need for more information.
* **Part C**: Acknowledges relevant sources of information from the DL in the final memo, but does not explain how information in the documents impacts the analysis.
 | * **Part A:** Describes documents in the DL in general terms; does not effectively determine accuracy, bias, and relevance.
* **Part B**: Acknowledges the need for more information but does not specify the information or sources that would address unanswered questions.
* **Part C**: Indirectly/vaguely acknowledges sources of information from the DL in the final memo.
 | * **Part A:** Fails to correctly determine accuracy, bias, and relevance of the majority of documents in the DL.
* **Part B**: Does not acknowledge or assess the need for more information.
* **Part C**: Fails to acknowledge sources from the DL in the final memo.
 |  |
| **Critical Thinking/Problem Solving (aka “Inquiry-Based Thinking”)**(Demonstrating sound reasoning skills through the construction of an argument) | **Part C*** Offers specific recommendations that consider all aspects of the problem.
* Explicitly links analysis to relevant evidence from the DL; thoroughly questions and evaluates viewpoints and assumptions expressed in the DL; explains why some evidence has been disregarded because of inaccuracy, partiality/bias, or irrelevance.
 | **Part C*** Offers specific recommendations, but may only partially consider the problem.
* Links analysis to relevant evidence from the DL but does not explain why particular information was emphasized.
 | **Part C*** Offers an overgeneralized or contradictory analysis.
* Defends analysis with a mix of appropriate and inappropriate evidence from the DL.
 | **Part C*** Discusses the scenario topic but fails to define the problem.
* Disregards evidence from the DL in favor of own ideas or biases.
 |  |